

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,451	10/08/2003	Kim Kim	MS304208.1/60001.277US01	9384
Homer Knearl	7590 05/02/2007		EXAMI	NER
Marchant & Gould P.C.			ABEBE, DANIEL DEMELASH	
P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolos, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
,			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/681,451	KIM, KIM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel D. Abebe	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress		
Period for Reply		·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed in the mailing date of this contained by the contained			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·— · · ——	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	in the second se	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-13,15-19 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		n received in this National	Stage		
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)	%				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/681,451

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 15-19 and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Murdock et al. (2003/0182632).

As to claim 1, Murdock teaches a system and a method for remote software products localization (Fig.1), comprising the steps of:

Loading the initial language at localization server for the purpose of localizing languages up on requests;

Checking by the remote device whether the selected text are loaded in the language database/map/memory at the localization server (Fig.1; par.0031)

Identifying the language and localizing the initial language at the localization server and communicating the localized text to the remote localization enabled device; and

At the user interface rendering the localized language (Fig.1-2).

according to Murdock the method for providing remote localization comprises "Establishing a localization server <u>loaded</u> with text string information includes <u>loading</u> the localization server with text strings in a plurality of languages and character sets. Application/Control Number: 10/681,451

Art Unit: 2626

Then, selecting a first set of text string information includes selecting a text string language and character set. (Par.0018).

As to claims 2-3 Murdock teaches a communication between the user terminal, RLE and the localization server for executing the process (Fig.3; Par.0031).

As to claim 5, its inherent that the original language is neutral in Murdock.

Claims 6-8, 10-13, 15-19 and 20-24 are analogous to the claims above and are rejected for the foregoing reasons by Murdock.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 9, 14 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: these claims are allowable because Murdock doesn't teach where the database comprises placeholders as recited in the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D. Abebe whose telephone number is 571-272-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,451

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Abebe Primary Examiner A.U. 2626

April 24, 2007